



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,653	04/17/2001	Wesley Paul Durkalski	20207-11	7384
33717 7.	590 07/09/2003			
GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			EXAMINER	
2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E SANTA MONICA, CA 90404			HAMILTON, MONPLAISIR G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Am

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		DURKALSKI, WESLEY PAUL			
Office Action Summary	09/836,653 Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Monplaisir G Hamilton	2172			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 A	<u>pril 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		No Everino			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>8/13/01</u> is/are: a)☐ acce	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Ap	pplication No			
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority</li> </ol>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ŭ			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has be	een received.			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Ir	summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2172

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1-20 are pending.

# **Priority**

2. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged.

## **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to because of informalities noted on PTO-948, Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2172

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5963967 issued to Umen et al, further in view of Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary Third Edition.

Referring to Claims 1 and 20:

Umen discloses a method for creating a customized database (document) management system, the method comprising: providing a user with at least one question related to an anticipated use of the customized database (document) management system (Fig 3; col 6, lines 10-25);

receiving at least one answer to the at least one question from the user (col 6, lines 10-25);

retrieving a set of rules associated with the anticipated use of the customized database management system (col 6, line 50-col 7, line 15);

analyzing the at least one answer and the set of rules (col 2, lines 25-68; col 10, lines 45-55); and generating the customized database (document) management system according to the analyzing of the at least one answer and the set of rules (col 10, lines 45-55).

Umen does not disclose the claimed "customized database".

Art Unit: 2172

Microsoft's Computer dictionary defines a document to be any self-contained piece of work created with an application program and, if saved on disk, given a unique filename by which it can be retrieved. Documents are generally thought of as word-processed materials only. To a computer, however, data is nothing more that a collection of characters, so a spreadsheet or a graphic is as much a document as is a letter or report. Therefore a document and the claimed customized database are equivalent.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Umen to generate the claimed customized database/document. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a database that is in compliance with FDA clinical trials regulatory rules (col 2, lines 55-65).

# Referring to Claim 2:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 1 above. Umen further discloses the customized database management system is a clinical trials database management system (col 4, lines 55-65).

#### Referring to Claim 3:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 1 above. Umen further discloses the anticipated use is administration of a clinical trial (col 4, lines 1-20).

Art Unit: 2172

Referring to Claim 4:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 3 above. Umen further discloses the

set of rules is derived from clinical trials regulations (col 2, lines 1-68; col 6, lines 60-65; col 10,

lines 45-60).

Referring to Claim 5:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 1 above. Umen further discloses the

set of rules governs the at least one answer (col 9, line 60-col 10, line 30).

Referring to Claim 6:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 5 above. Umen further discloses

generating creates a customized database that is in conformance with the set of rules and the at

least one answer (col 10, lines 45-68).

Referring to Claim 7:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 1 above. Umen further discloses the

providing a user with at least one question, the receiving at least one answer, the retrieving a set

of rules, the analyzing, and the generating are all performed on a common Web site (col 4, lines

20-55).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2172

# Referring to Claim 8:

Umen discloses a creation system for generating a customized database management system, the creation system comprising:

a computer configured to execute a first routine for asking a user at least one question related to a desired application for the customized database management system and for receiving at least one answer to the at least one question from the user (Fig 3; col 6, lines 10-25);

the computer further configured to execute a second routine for retrieving a set of rules associated with the desired application for the customized database management system (col 6, line 50-col 7, line 15);

the computer further configured to execute a third routine for processing an analysis of the at least one answer and the set of rules (col 2, lines 25-68; col 10, lines 45-55); and

the computer further configured to execute a fourth routine for generating the customized relational database management system according to the analysis (col 10, lines 45-55);).

Umen does not disclose the claimed "customized database".

Microsoft's Computer dictionary defines a document to be any self-contained piece of work created with an application program and, if saved on disk, given a unique filename by which it can be retrieved. Documents are generally thought of as word-processed materials only. To a computer, however, data is nothing more that a collection of characters, so a spreadsheet or a graphic is as much a document as is a letter or report. Therefore a document and the claimed customized database are equivalent.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Umen to generate the claimed customized

Art Unit: 2172

database/document. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this

because it would provide a database that is in compliance with FDA clinical trials regulatory

rules (col 2, lines 55-65).

Referring to Claim 9:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 8 above. Umen further discloses the

customized database management system is a clinical trials database management system (col 4,

lines 55-65).

Referring to Claim 10:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 8 above. Umen further discloses the

desired application is a clinical trial (col 4, lines 55-65).

Referring to Claim 11:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 10 above. Umen further discloses

the set of rules is in conformance with clinical trials regulations (col 2, lines 25-68; col 10, lines

45-55).

Referring to Claim 12:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 10 above. Umen further discloses a

generated customized database management system is in conformance with the set of rules and

the at least one answer (col 2, lines 25-68; col 10, lines 45-55; col 9, line 60-col 10, line 30).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2172

Referring to Claim 13:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 9 above. Umen further discloses the asking and receiving are handled by a dialogue box described by software executed by the computer (col 5, lines 10-20).

Page 8

Referring to Claim 14:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 9 above. Umen further discloses the first routine, second routine, third routine, and fourth routine reside at a common Web site (col 4, lines 20-55).

Referring to Claim 15:

Umen discloses a method for creating a clinical trials database management system, the method comprising:

providing information descriptive of a particular clinical trial (Fig 3; col 6, lines 10-25); providing a first set of rules in accordance with clinical trials governing regulations (col 2, lines 25-68; col 10, lines 45-55);

generating a second set of rules that conforms to the information and to the first set of rules (col 9, line 60-col 10, line 15); and

generating the clinical trials database management system to be compliant with the second set of rules (col 10, lines 45-60).

Umen does not disclose the claimed "customized database".

Application/Control Number: 09/836,653 Page 9

Art Unit: 2172

Microsoft's Computer dictionary defines a document to be any self-contained piece of work created with an application program and, if saved on disk, given a unique filename by which it can be retrieved. Documents are generally thought of as word-processed materials only. To a computer, however, data is nothing more that a collection of characters, so a spreadsheet or a graphic is as much a document as is a letter or report. Therefore a document and the claimed customized database are equivalent.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Umen to generate the claimed customized database/document. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would provide a database that is in compliance with FDA clinical trials regulatory rules (col 2, lines 55-65).

# Referring to Claim 16:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 15 above. Umen further discloses the clinical trials database management system is contained within a Web site (col 4, lines 20-55).

## Referring to Claim 17:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 16 above. Umen further discloses the clinical trials database management system is operable on the Web site (col 4, lines 20-55).

Application/Control Number: 09/836,653 Page 10

Art Unit: 2172

Referring to Claim 18:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 15 above. Umen further discloses the information descriptive of a particular clinical trial includes a user name, and data collection specifications (col 6, lines 10-20).

Referring to Claim 19:

Umen discloses the limitation as discussed in Claim 18 above. Umen further discloses the information descriptive of a particular clinical trial further includes specifications for data cleaning (col 10, lines 45-60).

#### Prior Art

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6513047 issued to Talley, Stephen C. Talley discloses a method and computer program product for managing and manipulating user-defined and system databases in a computing system. A database interface, which can be a graphical user interface, accesses a database configuration file containing descriptions of the contents of each of the databases. The database interface interprets the contents of each database in accordance with the configuration file, and provides for user access and manipulation of the contents of the databases.

Art Unit: 2172

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monplaisir G Hamilton whose telephone number is 1703-305-5116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 am - 4:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y Vu can be reached on 1703-305-4393. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 1703-746-7239 for regular communications and 1703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 1703-305-3900.

Monplaisir Hamilton June 30, 2003 Randy Parter Examiner